

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,421	09/30/2005	Don Stephan Engelbrecht	2001-1403	3847
466 VOUNG & TH			EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 2			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Michigan	, 111 22 02		1772	
·		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	<i>,</i>		06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/551,421	ENGELBRECHT, DON STEPHAN				
omoo rionon ounmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Alexander Thomas	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the leaving of the drawing of the dr	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/30/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/551,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the UK patent document 2209041 in view of the German patent document 20116169 and Ruby 5,232,762. The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a pillar protector comprising a impact absorbing blanket 4 and a plastic sleeve 2 fitted around the blanket; see Figure 2. The outer surface of the sleeve may be printed with indicia and provided with a closure means; see the Abstract and claims. However, the primary reference does not teach a segmented impact absorbing layer formed from foam with particles therein. The German patent document discloses the use of a foam matrix containing particles as an impact absorbing layer in a pillar protecting product; see the instant specification page 1, lines 7-12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a foam matrix containing particles as taught in the German patent document as the impact layer in the product of the primary reference in order to provide a desired degree of pillar protection. Adjusting the size of filler material in the foam composition is well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art in order to optimize physical properties of the layer. Ruby teaches segmenting a

Art Unit: 1772

layer in order to allow a laminate to be formed into a curved shape; see Figures. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to segment the inner layer of the primary reference's product in view of the teachings in Ruby to allow the product to readily form a bent profile.

Regarding claim 13, the term "recycled" is a process limitation that does not provide any structurally distinguishing features to the claimed product. Regarding claim 15, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the spacing of the segments of foam material to any amount depending on the desired insulating properties and necessary flexibility needed to cover a specific pillar. Concerning claim 19, the disclosure in the primary reference of the use of canvas, tarpaulin, plastic, etc, suggests the use of a sailcloth material. Regarding claim 20, a duplication of parts is within the purview and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,421

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

alexandy & Mana

Page 4